



Norwich Western Link

Environmental Statement

Chapter 12: Road Drainage and the Water Environment

Appendix 12.1: Drainage Network Water Quality Assessment

Sub Appendix B: Routine Runoff on Groundwater Quality Data

Author: WSP UK Limited

Document Reference: 3.12.01b

Version Number: 00

Date: March 2024



Contents

1 HEWRAT assessment of routine runoff on groundwater quality 3

Tables

Table 1.1 – Basin 1 results..... 4
Table 1.2 – Basin 2 results..... 5
Table 1.3 – Basin A1067 results 7
Table 1.4 – Basin 3 results..... 8
Table 1.5 – Basin 4 results..... 9
Table 1.6 – Final results..... 10



1 HEWRAT assessment of routine runoff on groundwater quality

1.1.1 **Table 1.1** to **Table 1.6** below provide a full summary of the input parameters and results for each individual basin assessed.



Table 1.1 – Basin 1 results

Source / Pathway	Parameter	Value	Risk	Weighting factor	Score
Source	Traffic flow	63,605 (Total) 47,120 (Proposed Scheme) 16,485 (NDR)	Medium	10	20
Source	Rainfall depth	683	Low	10	10
Source	Drainage area ratio	Drainage area 4.91ha (Total) 1.31ha (Proposed Scheme) 3.6ha (NDR) Basin area 2,783m ² (NDR) Ratio 17.6	Low	10	10
Pathway	Infiltration Method	Region	Medium	15	30
Pathway	Unsaturated Zone	1 - 5m	High	20	60
Pathway	Flow Type	Mixed fracture and intergranular	Medium	20	40



Source / Pathway	Parameter	Value	Risk	Weighting factor	Score
Pathway	Unsaturated Zone Clay content	≥15% clay minerals	Low	5	5
Pathway	Organic Carbon	≤1% soil organic matter	High	5	15
Pathway	Unsaturated Zone Soil pH	pH≤5	High	5	15

Table 1.2 – Basin 2 results

Source / Pathway	Parameter	Value	Risk	Weighting factor	Score
Source	Traffic flow	47,120	Low	10	10
Source	Rainfall depth	683	Low	10	10
Source	Drainage area ratio	Drainage area 2.91ha Basin area 7,533.8m ² Ratio 3.9	Low	10	10
Pathway	Infiltration Method	Region	Medium	15	30
Pathway	Unsaturated Zone	1 - 5m	High	20	60



Source / Pathway	Parameter	Value	Risk	Weighting factor	Score
Pathway	Flow Type	Mixed fracture and intergranular	Medium	20	40
Pathway	Unsaturated Zone Clay content	≥15% clay minerals	Low	5	5
Pathway	Organic Carbon	≤1% soil organic matter	High	5	15
Pathway	Unsaturated Zone Soil pH	pH≤5	High	5	15



Table 1.3 – Basin A1067 results

Source / Pathway	Parameter	Value	Risk	Weighting factor	Score
Source	Traffic flow	18991	Low	10	10
Source	Rainfall depth	683	Low	10	10
Source	Drainage area ratio	Drainage area 0.27ha Basin area 1,427.3m ² Ratio 1.9	low	10	10
Pathway	Infiltration Method	Region	Medium	15	30
Pathway	Unsaturated Zone	1 - 5m	High	20	60
Pathway	Flow Type	Mixed fracture and intergranular	Medium	20	40
Pathway	Unsaturated Zone Clay content	≥15% clay minerals	Low	5	5
Pathway	Organic Carbon	≤1% soil organic matter	High	5	15
Pathway	Unsaturated Zone Soil pH	pH≤5	High	5	15



Table 1.4 – Basin 3 results

Source / Pathway	Parameter	Value	Risk	Weighting factor	Score
Source	Traffic flow	47,120	Low	10	10
Source	Rainfall depth	683	Low	10	10
Source	Drainage area ratio	Drainage area 0.68ha Basin area 2,801.8m ² Ratio 2.4	Low	10	10
Pathway	Infiltration Method	Region	Medium	15	30
Pathway	Unsaturated Zone	1 - 5m	High	20	60
Pathway	Flow Type	Mixed fracture and intergranular	Medium	20	40
Pathway	Unsaturated Zone Clay content	≥15% clay minerals	Low	5	5
Pathway	Organic Carbon	≤1% soil organic matter	High	5	15
Pathway	Unsaturated Zone Soil pH	pH≤5	High	5	15



Table 1.5 – Basin 4 results

Source / Pathway	Parameter	Value	Risk	Weighting factor	Score
Source	Traffic flow	47,120	Low	10	10
Source	Rainfall depth	683	Low	10	10
Source	Drainage area ratio	Drainage area 3.25ha Basin area 9,195.2m ² Ratio 3.5	Low	10	10
Pathway	Infiltration Method	Region	Medium	15	30
Pathway	Unsaturated Zone	1 - 5m	High	20	60
Pathway	Flow Type	Mixed fracture and intergranular	Medium	20	40
Pathway	Unsaturated Zone Clay content	≥15% clay minerals	Low	5	5
Pathway	Organic Carbon	≤1% soil organic matter	High	5	15
Pathway	Unsaturated Zone Soil pH	pH≤5	High	5	15

Table 1.6 – Final results

Basin	Total Score
1	205
2	195
A1067	195
3	195
4	195